

IPHC Regulations Proposal Submission Form

Proposal Title: POT RETENTION OF HALIBUT IN BERING SEA

Year Proposed For: UPCOMING - 2008

Submission Information (Please print or type)

Name: JAY HEBERT

Affiliation: NONE - (TAKE NOTE, CONTRARY TO PRIOR)

Address: _____

City: FERNDALE State/Prov: WA Postal/ZIP Code: 98248

Telephone: _____ Fax: _____ Email: _____

Signature: _____

1. What is the definition and objective of the proposal?

REFER TO ATTACHED PAGE -

2. Impacts: Describe who you think this proposed change might affect (include fishers, processors, agencies, and the public).

2a. Who might benefit from the proposed change?

2b. Who might suffer hardships or be worse off?

3. Are there other solutions to the problem described above? If so, why were they rejected?

Please attach any other supporting materials. All items submitted by **November 15, 2007** will be considered at the IPHC Annual Meeting. **Remember to include contact information and signature.**

Proposal: Allowing the retention of coincidentally harvested Halibut during the Bering Sea Sablefish Pot Fishery

Year(s): Effective spring 2008, for a three year trial/evaluation period

Definition and Objective:

This proposal is to allow the retention of incidental by catch Halibut, specifically caught in the Bering Sea Sablefish fishery, by pot, by qualified harvesters that have 4A Halibut quota. There are 3 primary objectives to this proposal. 1) Increase the area of harvest in 4A, 2) reduce mortality from Killer whale predation and handling, and 3) Reduce concentrated harvest in traditional "whale-free" areas.

1) Currently there is a very large portion of 4A that is not reasonable to attempt harvesting Halibut from because of Killer whale predation. Pots have been successful in safely capturing these fish, with no mortality from predation.

2) Under the current regulations, all Halibut caught by Sablefish pots must be discarded. Because of where the majority of the Bering Sea Sablefish Pot fishery is conducted, there is a constant presence of Killer whales near harvesting vessels. There is no mechanism by which halibut can be safely returned, without extremely high mortality. Mortality from handling would be completely eliminated.

3) Because of the increased presence of Killer whales in 4A, harvesters have been forced into ever increasingly small areas of harvest, with limited windows of opportunity to harvest. Allowing these specified pot vessels to retain their by-catch reduces both pressure on the resource and direct competition between vessels, lessening focused impact on the resource, and significantly increasing the area of harvest.

Impacts:

All vessels fishing with hooks will see some small measure of relief from this proposal, simply because: a) some of the fish would, with this proposal, be harvested from regions that are not being currently exploited, b) Halibut caught by pot, landed and recorded, would directly increase the availability, by reducing competitive pressure.

Opinion:

We have had 6 years of Sablefish fishing, by pot, in the Bering Sea to witness changing events. The Killer whale predation problem is increasing. Cows are teaching their calves the "technique" of stripping fish and following in to snatch by catch as quickly as it is discarded. When we discard Halibut, we are destroying the fish. We can't change the whales feeding habits, but we can change their access to Halibut in particular. I believe all vessels engaged in Sablefish fishing in the Bering Sea should be required to have some Halibut quota for 4A, specifically to cover the inevitable by catch of Halibut.

For a significant portion of the year, Halibut and Sablefish share intermingled climes on the ocean bottom. Traditional halibut surveys do not get to these regions. To pursue Sablefish will forever take us through regions of Halibut as the two species compete for food. Recognizing this interrelationship, I am proposing that we retain both.